1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

v.

IN THE	UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT
	CINIED	0111120	DISTRICT	00011

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LATASHA MCLAUGHLIN, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,

No. C 15-02904 WHA

Plaintiff,

WELLS FARGO BANK, NA,

ORDER GRANTING IN PART ND DENYING IN PART ADMINISTRATIVE MOTIONS TO FILE UNDER SEAL

Defendant.

Plaintiff Latasha McLaughlin and defendant Wells Fargo Bank have filed administrative motions to file under seal portions of the opposition and reply to the class certification motion along with portions of defendant's motion for summary judgment. The portions sought to be sealed contain personal identifying information relating to plaintiff's bank records and confidential financial and propriety information that had been designated as highly confidential under the parties' protective order. In response, the parties have submitted detailed declarations in support of the motions to seal.

"Those who seek to maintain the secrecy of documents attached to dispositive motions must meet the high threshold of showing that compelling reasons support secrecy. A good cause showing under Rule 26(c) will suffice to keep sealed records attached to non-dispositive motions." Kamakana v. City and County of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Motions for class certification are non-dispositive motions and thus parties seeking to seal portions of them must meet the good cause standard. Motions for summary judgment are dispositive and thus compelling reasons must be shown to seal portions of that motion.

The rulings on the motions to seal are as follows. Defendant's motion to seal Exhibits 1–12 of the Shively Declaration is **Granted in Part and Denied in Part**. These exhibits contain personal information relating to plaintiff's mortgage with Wells Fargo as well as her dispute with her contractor. All personal information — such as private email addresses, telephone numbers, claim numbers, and social security numbers — shall be redacted as indicated in the Avery Declaration. The remainder of Shively Exhibits 1–12 shall be made public.

Defendant's motion to redact portions of its class certification opposition and motion for summary judgment is **Granted in Part and Denied in Part**. The motion to seal the portions of the briefs which reference plaintiff's personal information, as described above, is **GRANTED**. The motion to seal portions of the opposition to the class certification motion, specifically page 4, lines 17–20 and 22–24 and page 21, lines 17–21 is **DENIED**. These redacted sections discuss the deposition testimony of Jody Leo. The sections referenced, however, have already been disclosed by Wells Fargo in other public filings (Dkt Nos 57–1 at p. 6; Dkt. No. 69–1 at p. 11).

Plaintiff's motion to seal portions of her reply to defendant's class certification opposition is **Granted in Part and Denied in Part**. The motion to seal Exhibits 4–6 to the Insley-Pruitt Declaration is **GRANTED**. These exhibits are audio recordings of phone calls in which plaintiff regularly discusses personal identifying information. The transcripts of these recordings shall be redacted as the parties propose, redacting plaintiff's email addresses, telephone numbers, claim numbers, and social security numbers. The motion to seal other portions of the reply brief (other than the plaintiff's personal information) is **DENIED**, as defendant has withdrawn its confidentiality designation for these portions.

Defendant's motion to remove incorrectly filed documents that contain plaintiff's personal information (Dkt. No. 84) is **GRANTED**.

Case 3:15-cv-02904-WHA Document 91 Filed 03/01/16 Page 3 of 3

By Noon on March 7, 2016,	the parties shall re-file and submit to the Court redacted
versions of the opposition and reply to	the class certification motion and the motion for summary
judgment, along with the redacted exhi	bits, in accordance with this order.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: March 1, 2016.	Was Alma

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE